INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Gandhinagar regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/RJS/LZ-1 Mine code : 34RAJ27002

(i) Name of the Inspecting : GQ21) DATA RAM GURJAR

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sh. Dushyant Tailor, Mining Engineer & Pankaj Choudha

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 02-DEC-21
(v) Prev.inspection date : 08-JUL-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : SINDESAR KHURD

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/421/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Underground

(e) Postal address

State : RAJASTHAN

District : RAJSAMAND

Village : SINDSAR KHURD

Taluka : RAIL MAGRA

Post office : DARIBA

Pin Code : 313211

FAX No. : 02952-265143

E-mail : sunil.duggal@vedanta.co.in

Phone : 02952-265275

(f) Police Station : Dariba
(g) First opening date : 09-AUG-00

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : RAJSAMAND

correspondance Tehsil - Railmagra

Rajasthan

3. (a) Lease Number : RAJ1749 (b) Lease area : 199.84

(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 19-MAR-49

4. Mineral worked : LEAD & ZINC ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Hindustan Zinc Ltd.

Yashad Bhavan Udaipur UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone: FAX:

Owner : SUNIL DUGGAL

YASHAD BHAWAN UDAIPUR UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN Phone: 0294-6604120 FAX : 0294-6604120

Agent : Vinod Jangir

Sindesar Khurd Mine Distt. Rajsamand Rajasthan-313211

RAJSAMAND RAJASTHAN Phone: 7742842411 FAX : (02952) 265143

Mining Engineer

Name : Dushyant Tailor, Full Time
Qualification : BE(Mining) & FCC(U/R)

Appointment/ : 12-JUL-18

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Shri Pankaj Kumar, Full Time Qualification : M.Sc. (Applied Geology)

Appointment/ : 15-OCT-19

Termination date

Manager

Name : RADHA RAMAN Qualification : B E Mining

Appointment/: 02-JUL-19 30-MAY-21

Termination date

Manager

Name : Anshul Kumar Khandelwal

Qualification : BE (Mining Engg)

Appointment/ : 31-MAY-21

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 10-JUL-02 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 27-JUL-06 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 29-JAN-09 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 23-JUL-13 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 01-DEC-17 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 01-AUG-19 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 09-JUL-20

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No backlog of previous year 2019-20. | Not applicable | Nil |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | 2020-21: UG Drilling - 85000m(No of BH-800 no's) Surface Drilling :10000m (No of BH-10) | 2020-21: UG Drilling -58048m(No of BH-414 no's) Surface Drilling: 18583m (No of BH-33) | For shortfall in underground exploration drilling during the year 2020-21, violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not specified | Year 2020-21: Agency- Hindustan Zinc Limited, Expenditures- Underground exploration Expenditure Rs 62795225/- Surface Exploration Expenditure Rs.81491494/- | Nil |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | As per approved mining plan entire potentially mineralised area explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. Drilling has been proposed to upgrade the resource and for in depth exploration. | Exploratory drilling has been carried out to upgrade resource and to explore ore body in depth. | s Nil |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Not specified | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2021 Reserve-32.52 million tonnes, Resource-79.07 million tonnes, Total R&R- 111.57 million tonnes, | Nil |

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

For shortfall in underground exploration drilling during the year 2020-21, violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | was proposed for Ramp, 425- 315mRL, 290- 160mRL, 130 - | Underground Mine Development has been carried out for Ramp, 425-315mRL, 290-160mRL, 130 - (-)55mRL, -90 - (-)360mRL,SKA04, -395 & below, SKA2, SKA6 and Vertical Development. Total of 22012m mine development was achieved during the year. | During the previous inspection violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 for less development from proposal. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Not applicable as mining operations was proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Not applicable as mining operations was proposed through UG Mining Method. | Not applicable | Nil |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | top soil | Top soil has not been generated during the RY i.e. 2020-21. | Nil |

| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | A total of 1775186 MT of waste has been proposed to generate through UG development. | A total of 1196371 MT of waste has been generated. Out of total waste generation, an quantity of 552091 MT has been dumped to surface waste dump and an quantity of 644280 MT of waste was dumped in voids created by stoping. | Nil |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | - | Development is being carried out through UG Mining Method. | During the previous inspection violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 for less development from proposal. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | No proposal being an underground mine. | Not applicable being an underground mine. | NIL. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 2020-21: 6000000 MT | 2020-21: 4842247 MT | Nil |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 2020-21: 6000000 MT | 2020-21: 4842247 MT | Nil |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Mineral Reject generation. However during | Entire ROM is subject to beneficiation. However, mill tailing quantity of 4562728 T having grade of 0.31% TMC (Pb+Zn) generated. | NIL. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No Proposal.No threshold has been declared by IBM. | | Nil |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal of Sub-grade ore generation. | No Sub-grade ore generated. | Nil |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No proposal of Sub-grade ore generation. | Not applicable | NIL. |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | No specific proposal of segregation of ROM. Entire ROM is subject to the beneficiation. | Entire ROM is being beneficiated. | NIL. |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal of any fresh beneficiation study. | Beneficiation of ROM is being carried out as per proposal. | Beneficiation facility of 6.50 mtpa is already in place within lease boundary. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Not applicable being an underground mine. Under Ground - Drilling pattern in ore- Burn Cut method of development, Drilling pattern in Stopes-Ring/parallel holes drilling proposed. | The drilling and blasting is being carried out as per proposal in UG. | Nil |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Proposal for UG mining machinery: LHD, LPDT,Dumper, Explosive Van,Rock Drill,Blast Hole Drill, Water Tanker etc. | LHD, LPDT, Dumper,Explosive Van, Rock Drill, Blast Hole Drill, Water Tanker etc. | Nil |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | No proposals as operations are proposed through underground mining method. | Not applicable as operations are being carried out through UG mining Method. | NIL |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | No proposal. | UG mine, hence not applicable. | NIL. |

| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | | Not applicable being an underground mine. | NIL. |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | As per approved MMP dated 09/07/2020, total area put under different heads at the end of the plan period i.e. 2022-23 is 121.89Ha. | Total area put in use at the end of the year 2020-21 was 98.93 Ha. | As per AR 2020-21. |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2016-17- 4.5 mt 2017-18- 4.5 mt 2018-19- 6.0 mt 2019-20-6.0 mt 2020-21-6.0 mt | 2016-17- 3.66 mt 2017-18- 4.50 mt 2018-19- 5.31 mt 2019-20-5.077mt 2020-21-4.84mt | mt- Million Tonnes |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | The mine had been developed by UG method which is best suited to the type of deposit. No issue observed related method of mining. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | top soil and Mineral Reject generation. OB/waste is proposed to | Top soil and Mineral Reject has not been generated during the reporting year. Waste generated from UG mine development has been dumped as per proposal. | NIL. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | proposal OB- No proposal | Top soil- Not generated OB- Not generated.Waste dumping-E/W 1296-1502 & N/S 7134-7490 | NIL. |

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 01 within lease area | 01 within lease area | NIL. |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not applicable being an underground mine. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | One. | One. | NIL. |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Garland drain & retaining wall was proposed. | Garland drain & retaining wall observed however as per proposal not made duirng the year. | Nil |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | 200 retaining wall & 200m Garland drain | None duirng the year. | For retaining wall & graland drain violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | For retaining wall & graland drain violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | UG stopes | Underground stopes were back filled after full extraction of minerals. | NIL. |

| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No specific proposal on area of backfilling. Total 1862000cum U/G voids will be filled duirng the year. | During the year total 1995327cum underground voids filled by paste filling. | Nil |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposal of top soil generation during reporting year. | No top soil has been generated during the year. | NIL. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | An area of 3.0ha has been proposed to rehabilitate by the way of plantation. | Around 3.0 Ha areas has been rehabilitate by the way of plantation within lease area. | Nil |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Backfilling or in stope waste dumping after full extraction of mineral was proposed and in stope waste dumping was observed after full extraction of mineral. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | of MCDR, 2017 holder of mining lease | PMCP report for the year 2020-21 submitted on time. | Some discrepencies observed in submitted PMCP report for the year 2020-21, hence Violation of Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection. After that lessee submitted revised corrected PMCP report for the year 2020-21. |

| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha). | 3.0 ha area was proposed for afforestation. | Afforestation has been carried out over 3.0 ha area. | Nil |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 3 hect. | 3 hect. | Nil |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 3000 nos. | 4500 nos. | Nil |
| 6е | Cumulative no .of plants | No specific proposal on cumulative number of plants. | 80500 nos. up to year 2020-21. | Nil |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No any other specific proposal for rehabilitation | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not specified. | Rs. 4350356 (as informed during mine inspection) | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | 1726250 cum | 1729374 cum | Nil |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | 1805000 cum | 1995327 cum | The total void backfilled was more than the void created during the year 2020-21 was due to availability of previous year void. |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal. | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal. | Not applicable being an underground mine. | NIL. |

| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No any other specific proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | 3 hect. | 3 hect. | NIL. |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 3 hect. by plantation. | 3 hect. by plantation. | NIL. |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Plantation. | Plantation. | NIL. |
| 6р | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Provision of environment monitoring stations given in the Core and Buffer zone. | Environment monitoring is being carried out. | NIL. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Some discrepencies observed in submitted PMCP report for the year 2020-21, hence Violation of Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021 during the previous inspection. After that lessee submitted revised corrected PMCP report for the year 2020-21. |

Actual work

Remarks

Mineral Conservation:

Propasals

Item

Sl.No.

| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No specific proposal of grade wise sorting of ROM. Entire ROM proposed to dispatch for beneficiation. | Entire ROM ore is being transported to Ore beneficiation plant located within lease boundary. | NIL. |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | No proposal of grade wise sorting. | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Not applicable as no proposal. | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | | Beneficiation of ROM is being carried out. | Nil |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | No issues related to mineral conservation and beneficiation. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | top soil | generated. | NIL. |
| d8 | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not applicable as no proposal of top soil generation. | Not applicable. | NIL. |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | No proposal of Reject and fines generation. Waste has been proposed to dispose in UG voids as well as dumping on existing waste dump. | Reject and fines has not been generated during the year. Waste and Mill tailing were disposed as per proposal. | NIL. |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | use of overburden/ | Waste generated during the year has been dumped partially over designated dump and partially disposed of in underground stoped out area. | NIL. |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No such proposal given. | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | During the year 3000 number saplings have been proposed to plant over an area 3.0hect. area. | A total of 4500 number of saplings were planted over an area 3 hect. area including gap filling of existing plantation. | Nil |
| 8g | Survival rate | No specific proposal on survival rate. | 90 % | As per AR 2020-21. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | air born dust | Water sprinkling observed during the mine inspection. | NIL. |

8i General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area

The aesthetic beauty in and around mine was maintained.

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | M.R Submitted up to November 2021 A.R. Submitted for the year 2020- 21. | Timely submission | During the previous inspection some discrepencies observed in AR 2020-21, hence violation of Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021. After that lessee submitted revised corrected return for the year 2020-21. |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Shri Dushyant Tailor, Mining Engineer, Shri Pankaj Choudhary, Geologist, Shri Anshul Kumar Khandelwal, Mine Manager | Details are correct. | Nil |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | disposal- | The information furnished on land use pattern in annual return is found to correct. | NIL. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 4500 Nos of | Found in order. | NIL. |

| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | No mineral reject has been generated during mineral excavation. Mill tailing has been furnished as Mineral reject. | Nil |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | The information furnished found in order. | NIL. |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Ex mine price & Production cost per tonne- Rs. 2444 | Appears in order. | During the previous inspection some discrepencies observed in AR 2020-21related to cost of production per ton of ore, hence violation of Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 03.08.2021.After that lessee submitted revised corrected return for the year 2020-21. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Fixed Asset Rs. 3762.21 crores | The information furnished appears to be correct. | NIL. |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | LHD, LPDT,Dumper,Ex plosive Van,Rock Drill,Blast Hole Drill, Water Tanker, Pumps, Air Compressor, Ventilation Fan etc. | The information furnished in respect of mining machineries appears to be correct. | Nil |

PAGE : 16

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Violat | cion observed | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date: (DATA RAM GURJAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines